

## Influence of natural statistics on depth perception





Yseult Héjja-Brichard<sup>1,2</sup>, Silvia E.P. Bruzzone<sup>2,3</sup>, Emilie Rapha<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Durand<sup>1,2</sup>, Benoit R. Cottereau<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Toulouse, Centre de Recherche Cerveau et Cognition, Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup> Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Toulouse, France <sup>3</sup> Università degli studi di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

Contact: yseult.hejja@cnrs.fr



## Overview

To perceive depth, the visual system uses binocular disparities, the small differences between the two retinal projections of a visual scene, by matching corresponding points. Although there is no unique solution to this correspondence problem, some retinal points have a special physiological relationship that facilitates it. The space area that projects on those corresponding points forms the horopter, where stereoacuity is the finest. The vertical component of this horopter is not a vertical line, as one would expect if the corresponding points had identical anatomical locations in both retinae. Instead, it is tilted in a top-back manner relative to the fixation plane. This deviation, known as the Helmholtz's shear, has been suggested to reflect the distribution of binocular disparities in visual scenes (A) and could reflect the tuning properties of binocular neu-Helmholtz rons (B) in the early visual cortex (Sprague et al., 2015; Nasr and Tootell, 2016). shear We measured the horopter in a macaque subject to determine whether such a perceptual bias also exist in another species, and if so,







| Subjects   | IOD (cm) | Eyes' height<br>(cm) | Optimal shear<br>angle (degrees) | Shear angle<br>(degrees) |
|------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>S</b> 1 | 6.15     | 153                  | 2.3028                           | 4.1141                   |
| <b>S</b> 2 | 6.55     | 166.5                | 2.2537                           | 7.3516                   |
| S3         | 6.30     | 171.5                | 2.1045                           | 3.1262                   |
| <b>S</b> 4 | 6.90     | 163.5                | 2.4176                           | 4.4498                   |
| S5         | 6.15     | 157.5                | 2.2370                           | 5.712                    |
| <b>S</b> 6 | 6.70     | 169.5                | 2.2645                           | 4.0147                   |
| <b>S</b> 7 | 6.30     | 156                  | 2.2144                           | 2.7108                   |
| <b>S</b> 8 | 6.30     | 169                  | 2.1356                           | 5.2106                   |
| M1         | 3.14     | 38                   | 4.8973                           | 3.01                     |

deviates from the geometrical horopter and might also reflect natural statistics

- The measured values do not correspond to the optimal shear angle predictions, challenging the view that the horopter is aligned with the ground

- Interspecies comparisons reveal a similar tilted pattern between both species, potentially questionning the role that visual experience, and thus spatial regularities, might play at the individual level

## Bibliography

- Cooper E.A, Burge, J., Banks, M.S. (2013). The vertical horopter is not adaptable but it might be adaptive. Journal of Vision, 11 (3). - Sprague, W. W., Cooper, E. A., Tosic, I., & Banks, M. S. (2015). Stereopsis is adaptive for the natural environment. Science Advances, 1(4), e1400254-e1400254. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400254

- Nasr, S., & Tootell, R. B. H. (2016). Visual field biases for near and far stimuli in disparity selective columns in human visual cortex. Neurolmage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.012